UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO CLEVELAND DIVISION

PATRICIA A. JOHNSON,	
Plaintiff, v. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES, LLC, and TRANSUNION, LLC, Defendant.	Case No. 1:22-cv-00513-BMB Judge Bridget Meehan Brennan
REPORT OF PARTIES'	PLANNING MEETING
Pursuant to this Court's May 26, 2022 Or	rder [Dkt. 16], Patricia A. Johnson ("Plaintiff")
and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Defendant") (coll	ectively the "parties") jointly submit this status
report:	
1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f)	and L.R. 16.3(b), a meeting was held on and
was attended by:	
Counsel for Plaintiff(s): Marwan R. Dahe	er
Counsel for Defendant(s): Nicholas D. O	'Conner
2. The parties:	
Have exchanged the pre-d	iscovery disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(l)
and the Court's CMC Notice; or	
X Will exchange such discl	osures by July 8, 2022.
3. The parties recommend the follow	ving track:
Expedited X Standard	Complex Administrative Mass Tor

- 4. This case is for Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR")(e.g., mediation, arbitration, summary trial).
- 5. The parties **do not consent** to the jurisdiction of the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(c).
- 6. The parties agree that this case **does** involve electronic discovery. (**Counsel are** reminded to review the default standard for e-discovery set forth in Appendix K to the Local Rules.)
 - 7. Recommended Discovery Plan:
 - (a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought, the nature and extent of discovery and any potential problems:

Discovery will focus on Defendant's alleged erroneous reporting of the subject debt with a 30-day late notation on June 2018 and a 60-day late notation on July 2018 on Plaintiff's Equifax and Transunion credit file. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant unlawfully violated 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)(1)(A) and 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)(1)(B) by failing to conduct an investigation or review, with respect to the disputed information after receiving requests for an investigation from Experian, TransUnion, Equifax, and Plaintiff. Furthermore, Plaintiff alleges Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. §1681s-2(b)(1)(C)-(E) when it failed to report the results of the investigation or reinvestigation to Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion and modify, delete, or permanently block the inaccurate and misleading information from appearing and reappearing on Plaintiff's credit files. Defendant Midland denies Plaintiff's allegations.

(b) Describe anticipated e-discovery issues (i.e., what ESI is available and where it resides; ease/difficulty and cost of producing information; schedule and format of

protection, etc.):

__ The parties certify that have discussed ESI and have agreed to produce all ESI in .pdf format.

Anticipated e-discovery issues including but not limited to; written communications between

Defendant's, Plaintiff's disputes, Credit reports, and Defendant's account notes.

production; preservation of information; agreements about privilege or work-production

- 8. Recommended cut-off for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties: ____July 14, 2022___
 - 9. Expert reports disclosed by:

(a) Plaintiff(s): _____10/7/2022_____

(b) Defendant(s): _____10/21/2022____

- 10. Discovery deadlines:11/21/2022
- 11. Recommended dispositive motion date: January 31, 2023
- 12. Recommended date for telephone status: As is.
- 13. Other matters for the attention of the Court: None.

Dated: June 24, 2022

/s/ Marwan R. Daher

Marwan R. Daher, Esq.

SULAIMAN LAW GROUP, LTD.

2500 South Highland Avenue

Suite 200

Lombard, Illinois 60148

+1 630-575-8180

mdaher@sulaimanlaw.com

Counsel for Plaintiff

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Nicholas D. O'Conner

Nicholas D. O'Conner (Ohio 100807)

Abigail R. Van Hook (Illinois 6330578)

noconner@lockelord.com

abigail.vanhook@lockelord.com

LOCKE LORD LLP

111 S. Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois 60606

T: 312-443-0700 Counsel for Defendant